UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/624,779	07/22/2003	Robert W. Jewell	200209507-1	6785	
22879 7590 01/23/2007 HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			EXAMINER		
			MORRISON, THOMAS A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3653		
•	·		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/624,779	JEWELL, ROBERT W.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Thomas A. Morrison	3653		

	Thomas A. Morrison	3653	-
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aftice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external and the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	00000
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphant Amenament	(1 10L-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	•	` `
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			•
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> /it or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	7/	
13.		Y	
	PA	TRICK MACKEY	
	SUPERVIS	ORY PATENT EXAMI	NER
	TECHNO	OLOGY CENTER SEC	0

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

The request for reconsideration does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments do not overcome the rejections set forth in the 10/20/2006 Final Rejection. In the Response to Arguments section of the 10/20/2006 Final Rejection, the examiner has pointed out the Figures in the prior art that show all of the claimed elements. It is noted that all of the independent claims 1, 10, 12 and 19 of the instant application recite "comprising:" and then recite the claimed elements. In other words, these are open ended independent claims that do not limit all of the movement, steering and alignment of the print media to be performed only by the plurality of media carriers/belts in Japanese Publication No. 56-113641. Such media carriers/belts contribute to the movement, steering and alignment of the print media, and therefore meet the claims. The fact that another element (e.g., element 7 of Japanese Publication No. 56-113641) may or may not help to contribute to the movement, steering and alignment of the print media does not matter, particularly in view of the open ended claiming in claims 1, 10, 12 and 19. One way to limit the claims to having only the media carriers/belts do all of the movement, steering and alignment of the print media would be to amend independent claims 1, 10, 12 and 19 to recite "consisting of". The examiner takes a similar position with regard to applicant's remarks about the rejections in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,877,234 (Mandel).